1 2	THOMAS M. HOIDAL Law Office of Thomas M. Hoidal, P.L.C.	
3	111 W. Monroe St., Suite 1210 Phoenix, AZ 85003	
4	thoidal@hoidallawoffice.com State Bar No. 007194	
5	Telephone: (602) 254-0202	
6	Facsimile: (602) 254-0404	
7	Attorney for Defendant PARKER	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
10	United States of America,	Case No. CR10-00757-001-PHX-ROS
11	Plaintiff,	MOTION TO DESIGNATE
12	v.	COMPLEX CASE
13	James R. Parker,	(Oral Argument Requested)
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Defendant, James R. Parker, by and through his counsel, Thomas M. Hoidal,	
17	respectfully requests pursuant to LRCrim. 16.4, Rules of Practice of the District Court,	
18	that the Court designate this matter as a complex case within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.	
19	§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). The government and co-defendant Jacqueline L. Parker concur in	
20	this request. This motion is based on the attached memorandum and the files and	
21	records in this case.	
22	It is expected that excludable de	elay under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(F) may
23	occur as a result of this motion or from an order based thereon.	
24	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13 th day of July, 2010.	
25		Law Office of Thomas M. Hoidal, P.L.C.
26		/TT1
27		s/ Thomas M. Hoidal THOMAS M. HOIDAL
28		Attorney for Defendant Parker

MEMORANDUM

James R. Parker and his wife, Jacqueline L. Parker, have been charged in an indictment with various tax offenses. James R. Parker is charged with tax evasion in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7201 and 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Counts 1-4), and false statement in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Counts 5-8). Jacqueline L. Parker is charged with two counts of false statement (Counts 7-8). The defendants appeared for arraignment on June 23, 2010 and undersigned counsel entered a limited appearance for James R. Parker. Trial has been scheduled for August 3, 2010.

This case involves allegations of attempted tax evasion by defendant James R. Parker in an amount in excess of one million dollars over a period of four (4) calendar years (1997, 1998, 2001 and 2002). It is also alleged that the defendants made false statements in forms signed under penalty of perjury in an attempt to settle their tax liability. The government has indicated that the discovery in this case will be substantial. The first wave of production was provided in a letter dated July 8, 2010 enclosing two compact disks containing approximately 4000 pages of documents. The government indicates that additional materials will be provided in the coming weeks and anticipates thousands of pages of documents being produced.

In addition, several expert witnesses will likely be identified in this case by the government and the defendants. Prior to indictment handwriting exemplars were obtained from the defendants and other family members. Presumably, these exemplars have been examined by a government handwriting expert, but the results have not yet been disclosed. Various accounting records were also subpoenaed by the government and it is anticipated that expert accountants will be identified by the government and the defendants.

The government has spent several years obtaining records and interviewing witnesses in this case going back to at least 2007. The indictment contains allegations of activities in connection with the defendants' tax liability beginning in 2002 and relates to their calendar year returns for 1997, 1998, 2001 and 2002. The defendants

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 15 Filed 07/13/10 Page 3 of 4

will need sufficient time to review and analyze the voluminous discovery to be provided, investigate the allegations in the indictment and consult with expert witnesses. This case involves novel questions of fact and law regarding alleged payments from offshore entities and investments related thereto. It is clearly unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for trial within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii).

Defense counsel has discussed this motion with Assistant United States Attorney Peter Sexton and Dana Carpenter, counsel for co-defendant Jacqueline L. Parker. Both concur in the request for complex case designation. The parties propose that a status hearing be scheduled in this matter by the court to establish a trial date, discovery deadline and general scheduling order. At that time the matter of counsel for the

deadline and general scheduling order. At that time the matter of counsel for the defendant can also be determined. The parties hope to jointly submit a proposed

scheduling order to the court before the status hearing.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, the defendant respectfully requests that the Court enter an order declaring this case as unusual and complex within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) and scheduling a status conference to set a schedule for discovery, motions, and a trial date.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13th day of July, 2010.

20 | Law Office of Thomas M. Hoidal, P.L.C.

<u>s/ Thomas M. Hoidal</u> THOMAS M. HOIDAL Attorney for Defendant Parker

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 15 Filed 07/13/10 Page 4 of 4

1	I hereby certify that on <u>July 13, 2010</u> , I electronically transmitted the attached decument to the Clark's Office using the ECE System for filing and transmitted to the		
2	document to the Clerk's Office using the ECF System for filing and transmittal to the following ECF registrants:		
3			
4	Peter Sexton Peter.Sexton@usdoj.gov		
5	Conversilled this same data to		
6	Copy mailed this same date, to:		
7	James R. Parker		
8			
9	s/ Thomas M. Hoidal		
10			
11			
12 13			
$\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			